

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,508	03/01/2002	Seiji Yoshimura	4321	4072
21553	7590 12/01/2005	•	EXAM	INER
FASSE PATENT ATTORNEYS, P.A. P.O. BOX 726			MERCADO, JULIAN A	
	ME 04444-0726		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1745	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/087,508	YOSHIMURA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Julian Mercado	1745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Se	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 September 2005.				
·—					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-4,12-17 is/are pending in the application	ation.	•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,12 and 14-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are objected to.	· alastian varvivamant				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
200 and distance defined desired to a new or and defined depress that rederived.					
Attachment(s)	/\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	(PTO 442)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/087,508

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

This Office action is responsive to applicant's amendment filed September 20, 2005. Claims 1-4 and 12-17 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 12 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshimura et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,578,395) in view of Ebel et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,114,811).

The rejection is maintained for the reasons of record. Applicant's arguments filed with the present amendment have been fully considered, however they are not found persuasive.

The examiner notes the present amendment to the claims, now further limiting the claims to a lithium <u>primary</u> battery. However, while the examiner acquiesces with Yoshimura et al. being drawn to a secondary battery, during the initial discharge phase it is asserted that the battery in Yoshimura et al. operates in the same manner as a primary battery, at least as would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Applicant's arguments that the claimed primary battery is chemically distinct from the secondary battery of Yoshimura et al. appears to be premised on the chemical differences between a manganese dioxide active material and a lithium

Application/Control Number: 10/087,508

Art Unit: 1745

manganese dioxide active material, respectively. The open-ended scope of the claims, however, do not preclude a composite manganese dioxide/lithium manganese dioxide active material, such as taught by Yoshimura et al.

New claims 12 and 16 each recite the positive electrode as not containing lithium. This limitation, in excluding lithium, is a negative limitation and is therefore given its broadest reasonable interpretation. (examiner note: this interpretation is not unlike applicant's claim language in new claims 14 and 15, which excludes lithium under certain conditions) Thus, Yoshimura et al. is asserted as not containing lithium to the extent that the active material contains manganese dioxide, which does not contain lithium.

As to new claims 14 and 15, the process limitations therein are not given patentable weight as these limitations does not give breadth or scope to the product claim. The claimed product appears to be the same or similar to the prior art product insofar as being a positive electrode containing manganese dioxide and boron, with the manganese dioxide not containing boron. In the event that any differences can be shown by the product of the product-by-process claims 14 and 15, such differences would have been obvious to the skilled artisan as a routine modification of the product absent of a showing of unexpected results. *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,508

Art Unit: 1745

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not teach or suggest a lithium primary battery wherein the positive electrode consists essentially of manganese dioxide, boron and carbon.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian Mercado whose telephone number is (571) 272-1289. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,508 Page 5

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

PATRICK JOSEPH RYAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER